

Mark Scheme (Results)

Summer 2014

Pearson Edexcel GCE in Geography (6GE02)

Unit 2: Geographical Investigations

Edexcel and BTEC Qualifications

Edexcel and BTEC qualifications are awarded by Pearson, the UK's largest awarding body. We provide a wide range of qualifications including academic, vocational, occupational and specific programmes for employers. For further information visit our qualifications websites at www.edexcel.com or www.edexcel.com, you can get in touch with us using the details on our contact us page at www.edexcel.com/contactus.

Pearson: helping people progress, everywhere

Pearson aspires to be the world's leading learning company. Our aim is to help everyone progress in their lives through education. We believe in every kind of learning, for all kinds of people, wherever they are in the world. We've been involved in education for over 150 years, and by working across 70 countries, in 100 languages, we have built an international reputation for our commitment to high standards and raising achievement through innovation in education. Find out more about how we can help you and your students at: www.pearson.com/uk

Summer 2014
Publications Code US038913*
All the material in this publication is copyright
© Pearson Education Ltd 2014

ALWAYS LEARNING PEARSON

General Guidance on Marking

- All candidates must receive the same treatment.
- Examiners should look for qualities to reward rather than faults to penalise. This does
 NOT mean giving credit for incorrect or inadequate answers, but it does mean allowing
 candidates to be rewarded for answers showing correct application of principles and
 knowledge.
- Examiners should therefore read carefully and consider every response: even if it is not what is expected it may be worthy of credit.
- Candidates must make their meaning clear to the examiner to gain the mark. Make sure that the answer makes sense. Do not give credit for correct words/phrases which are put together in a meaningless manner. Answers must be in the correct context.
- Crossed out work should be marked UNLESS the candidate has replaced it with an alternative response.
- When examiners are in doubt regarding the application of the mark scheme to a candidate's response, the Team Leader must be consulted.

Using the mark scheme

The mark scheme gives:

- an idea of the types of response expected
- how individual marks are to be awarded
- the total mark for each question
- examples of responses that should NOT receive credit.
- 1 / means that the responses are alternatives and either answer should receive full credit.
- 2 () means that a phrase/word is not essential for the award of the mark, but helps the examiner to get the sense of the expected answer.
- 3 [] words inside square brackets are instructions or guidance for examiners.
- Phrases/words in **bold** indicate that the <u>meaning</u> of the phrase or the actual word is **essential** to the answer.
- ecf/TE/cq (error carried forward) means that a wrong answer given in an earlier part of a question is used correctly in answer to a later part of the same question.

Quality of Written Communication

Questions which involve the writing of continuous prose and candidates will be expected to:

- show clarity of expression
- construct and present coherent arguments
- demonstrate an effective use of grammar, punctuation and spelling.

Full marks will be awarded if the candidate has demonstrated the above abilities.

Questions where QWC is likely to be particularly important are indicated "QWC" in the mark scheme BUT this does not preclude others.

Additional Comments specific to 6GE02

- Always credit bullet points and similar lists, but remember if the list is the only response, then this is unlikely to be able to get into the top-band (L3 or L4) based on QWC shortcomings. However, bullets and lists as part of a response should permit access to the top band.
- Credit reference to the full investigative fieldwork and research process when referred to in any sections of the paper.
- Credit reference to GIS as a fieldwork and research tool in all questions.
- Credit reference to candidates own fieldwork and research across ALL questions
- Credit use of case studies and exemplar material where relevant

Question Number	Indicati	ive content
1(a)	• \ • \ • 1	No State escapes the drought but the northern States have smaller areas that have extreme drought or abnormally dry conditions. Worst hit areas are in the centre (Kansas, Colorado and Utah) and owards the south (Arizona, New Mexico and Texas). There is possibly a SW/NE trend. Coastal areas are also less
	There a positive Social Figure 1 in the second sec	are a range of impacts . Most will be negative , but there also re impacts which can be credited. Food shortages and increasing costs of some foods due to shortage. Increased mental and physical stress. Possible water user conflicts (e.g. in Colorado Drainage Basin). Public dissatisfaction with government regarding drought response.
	Econor L C F C Enviro C Credit of Credit to impacts strateg	Loss of national economic growth, slowing down of economic development. Damage to crop quality, less food production. Increased importation of food (higher costs). Loss from dairy and livestock production. Range fires and forest fires. Income loss for farmers / unemployment. Loss to recreational and tourism industry. Costs of providing an alternative water supply Inmental Damage / stress to animal species. Reduction and degradation of fish and wildlife habitat. Disease and increased predation. Damage to plant species. Increase in the number and severity of fires. Other relevant impacts. The idea that because of management (better or worse) the severy e.g. some areas have reservoirs, water conservation ies. The avery severe drought so impacts are likely to be significant and
Level	Mark	Descriptor
Level 1	1-4	Basic description which is generalised with one or two impacts and/or a description of the distribution of the conditions shown without identified impacts. Lacks structure and very limited use of geographical terminology. Considerable errors in language.
Level 2	5-7	Some description of impacts which is linked to some aspects of the distribution of the conditions shown but is likely to be restricted either in range or depth. Some structure and some written language errors.
Level 3	8-10	Detailed description of impacts that clearly links these to the distribution of drought conditions. At the top end might consider the variation in economic, social and environmental impacts. Well structured; written language errors are rare.

Question Number	Indicative content			
1(b)		e weather events are usually defined as being severe (hazardous rous) or unexpected (i.e. outside the range of normal variation).		
	Candidates are able to choose from a range of events, e.g. tropical cyclones, temperate storms, tornadoes, flooding (linked to heavy rainfall), blizzards, heat waves, fires and drought.			
	monito	a broad interpretation of 'technology' to include satellite / ring technology, ICT, GIS and civil engineering – but this must sed to extreme weather events.		
		the question is how technology can be used to manage the and so expect some comments on how impacts can be reduced.		
	extrem	logy has a number of roles in both prediction and response to e weather events and so aid in managing the impacts of these Possible ideas include:		
	• (• I	tion Satellite tracking of storms and use on mobile phone apps. Computers to model storms / droughts. mproved radar technology for rainfall distribution and intensity. GIS flood maps risk assessment.		
	• S	 anagement Social networking to alert peoples such as Twitter and FB. Internet to advise and alert people. Mobile phones to communicate with each other. Latest flood barriers are technological feats. redit other relevant suggestions. 		
		y credit hydro-meteorological hazards / extreme weather events.		
Level 1	Mark 1-4	Descriptor Basic description which is generalised with one or two ideas on		
ECACI I	1-4	technology with limited links to impacts. Lacks structure and very limited use of geographical terminology. Considerable errors in language.		
Level 2	5-7	Some explanation of how technology can be used to manage impacts but likely in be restricted either in range and or depth. May be unbalanced between technology / impacts. Some exemplification is present but is generalised and / or not very well selected. Some structure and some written language errors.		
Level 3	8-10	Detailed explanation of how technology can be used to manage impacts with good exemplification providing depth and / or detail. Well-structured and balanced response. Written language errors are rare.		

Question	Indicati	ve Cont	ent			
Number 1(c)	over dif associat can take pictures	ferent p ted with e a varions.	reather diary can take a variety of forms and be conducted periods of time e.g. a few days to look at changes a depression, or over 1 term or even a year. The diary ety of forms e.g. e-diary (on web), written notes, video /			
	masses	, weath	andidates to record changes in weather variables, air er systems (depressions / anticyclones and others), fronts. planning and 'carrying out' phases of the investigation.			
	involve the use		es may also recognise that the most reliable records of a range of techniques and research opportunities. Data ooled as a group. • Use of various local weather instruments: anemometer, thermometer, whirling psychrometer, rain gauges. • May use 'apps', or use school automated weather			
			station such as Davis; Stephenson screens. • Also more qualitative observations: changes in cloud cover, what it 'feels' like, whether the heating is required, seeing stars at night. • Time when measurements taken, measurement methods, use of group and or individual data. • Use of various sources to get a 'picture' of weather			
			e to fair testing, repeat sampling / surveying to try and acy and / or reliability of results.			
Level	Mark	Descri	•			
Level 1	1-4	be onl	description of fieldwork / research. Fieldwork / research will y partially linked to a weather diary and some may be opriate. Lacks structure. Considerable errors in language.			
Level 2	5-8	Description of fieldwork / research linked to some aspects of compiling a weather diary. Unlikely to link to meteorological conditions. Limited use of geographical terminology. There are some written language errors.				
Level 3	9-12	Description of fieldwork and/or research approaches linked to compiling a weather diary with some detail. Some link to meteorological conditions. Some use of geographical terminology. Response shows some structure, limited written language errors. Max 10 if only fieldwork or research.				
Level 4	13-15	Detailed description of a balanced range of appropriate fieldwork and research techniques used to compile a weather diary with clear links to meteorological conditions. Shows good use of own / group fieldwork. Good use of terminology. Written language errors are rare.				

Question	Indicat	tive content	
Number			
2(a)	Candidates should be suggesting why managed natural retreat (to include no defences / do nothing) a controversial choice – favoured by some but not by others.		
	Candidates can use a range of ideas from Figure 2 to explore, for instance, the economic and environmental costs and benefits of managed natural retreat to support why some (people, individuals, groups, organisation might be mentioned) are in favour and some are not.		
	(as w	ollowing points relating to the controversy could be raised ell as others):	
	re • A • Ar	ay be cheaper than traditional/hard engineering and so more sources can be spent on protecting other more valuable areas. long term solution and so cost effective over a period of years. reas down-drift will benefit from greater sediment inputs, creasing tourism.	
	• It im	is less destructive on the shore ecosystem and so has less of an appact on biodiversity. Forks with nature and is more suited to coping with rising sea levels	
	/ 1	future climate change.	
	 Less visually intrusive along amenity coasts. May even create new wetland habitat, though in other cases beach ecosystems are destroyed in favour of wetland ecosystems People / businesses could lose out e.g. property; stress of moving Loss of infrastructure e.g. roads, car parks Decrease in property values Politically more difficult for decision makers Loss of land will however have an economic cost for some. There is still a cost of building new defences inland. Examples may include Kent, North Norfolk, Essex, Holderness. Answers may attempt to look at why different people are for or against this approach or look at the advantages and disadvantages of such an approach.		
	Credit own knowledge and understanding or use of other place examples.		
Level	Mark	Descriptor	
Level 1	1-4	One or two basic statements about managed natural retreat / how it might be used in coastal management; descriptive and relies on lift-offs. Limited links to controversy idea. Lacks structure and very limited use of geographical terminology. Considerable errors in language.	
Level 2	5-7	Some comments on the advantages and /or disadvantages (or similar) of managed natural retreat, but lacking in detail. Some links to controversy. May refer to an example (s) at top of this level. Some structure and some written language errors.	
Level 3	8-10 Detailed reasons outlining why natural retreat is a controversial choice. Uses examples and Figure 2 to support reasons. Well structured; written language errors are rare.		

Question Number	Indicative	e content		
2(b)	Coastal development may give rise to a number of economic benefits and environmental costs. Coastal development examples could include new port/marina developments, refineries, housing, infrastructure, development and expansion of seaside resorts linked to tourism.			
	 Environmental costs Land taken at coastal margin. Land / coastal area degradation. Possible marine pollution (e.g. BP Gulf of Mexico 2010), also beach / coral degradation. Ecosystem damage / reduction in ecosystem quality (especially for 'high value' environments). Loss of biodiversity / specialist habitats. Visual impact / loss of aesthetic quality. Increasing traffic / transport congestion and air pollution Problems of fresh water supplies, e.g. Spanish Costas so linked pressure on ecosystems. 			
	Jobs consTher suppTher effectNewNew	Jobs may be involved at various stages of development, e.g. construction phase, or operationally in terms of large facilities. There are other obvious benefits in the development of associated support services (cumulative causation). There may be more money in the local economy or other knock-on effects (multiplier effects). New transport links and infrastructure. New (affordable) housing may provide benefits to particular groups.		
Level	Mark	Descriptor		
Level 1	1-4	Basic description which is generalised with one or two ideas on economic benefits and / or environmental costs with no links to coastal development. Lacks structure and very limited use of geographical terminology. Considerable errors in language.		
Level 2	5-7	Some explanation of the economic benefits and / or environmental costs but likely to be restricted either in range and or depth. May be unbalanced. Some exemplification is present but is generalised and / or not very well selected. Some links to coastal development. Some structure and some written language errors.		
Level 3	8-10			

Question	Indicative	e content	
Number			
2(c)	the meth	of both fieldwork and research methods should be described but ods should be related to the success/failure of the defences and y describing the defences present.	
	Fieldwor (primary	9 ,	
	Researci (second		
	Provide credit for possible reference to sampling strategies that are the planning e.g. systematic and stratified, number of people interviewed; also some candidates may have used a pilot survey, e. format questionnaires. In reality it is difficult to measure success – credit any acknowledgm		
	that results may be partial and tentative; based on more subjective observations. Evidence needs to come from a variety of sources to build up a more complete picture.		
Level	Mark	Descriptor	
Level 1	1-4	Basic description of fieldwork / research described. Fieldwork / research will be only partially linked to coastal defences /management and some may be inappropriate. Lacks structure. Considerable errors in language.	
Level 2	5-8	Description of fieldwork / research linked to some aspects of coastal defences / management. Unlikely to focus on success. Expect limited use of geographical terminology. There are some written language errors.	
Level 3	9-12	Description of fieldwork and/or research approaches linked to coastal defences with some detail. Some focus on success of coastal defences. Some use of geographical terminology. Response shows some structure, limited written language errors. Max 10 if only fieldwork or research.	
Level 4	13-15	Detailed description of a balanced range of appropriate fieldwork and research techniques used to investigate coastal management with clear links to success of coastal defences. Shows good use of own / group fieldwork. Good use of terminology. Written language errors are rare.	

Question Number	Indicat	ive content	
3(a)	aims to rural ir There improved clues to the second clues to the s	ying to support local people and their needs by offering a range of uch needed key services locally (e.g. post office, internet access inline services)). ocial /community gathering place – create a sense of community / inport lonely people (lunch club). In the same time the pub receive more visitors / footfall and so may inprove its viability and profitability. By help people save time as key services available under one roof. Enduce travelling required, carbon footprint and expense of driving is specially for elderly and young – mobility deprivation). Everall – saves people money. By also be some additional employment benefits – new staff for expectable (opportunity deprivation). Experit a bigger chain of local suppliers and businesses such as cal farms. Every young people things to do (youth centre) and supports is exping young people / families in the village (crèche, schools eals) making population more sustainable. any other reasonable ideas regarding this and other similar	
Level	Mark	Descriptor	
Level 1	1-4	•	
Level 2	5-7	Some comments on how such initiatives can help improve the lives of rural people, but lacking in detail. Some structure and some written language errors.	
Level 3	8-10	Detailed comments on how such initiatives can help improve the lives of rural people. May refer to own examples or similar initiatives. Well structured; written language errors are rare.	

Question	Indicativ	e conte	ent		
Number	There are a range of possibilities for analysis and presentation, which				
3(b)		<u> </u>			
3(b)	Presentation:		 Basic data processing – working out percentages Commenting on data / trends / patterns Photograph analysis / annotation Simple e.g. mode, mean and median; also inter quartile ranges for some of the quantitative data collected such as unemployment statistics or house price indices. Some candidates will describe more complex statistical analysis such as difference of means test and Chi squared tests. Other ways of analysing data may be more descriptive for qualitative, e.g. open-coding, geographical narratives, précising (of extended interviews), conceptual frameworks, and a written commentary to accompany a video / DVD or series of images, e.g. analysis of pictures of change through a timeline. Choice will be largely influenced by data type, e.g. quantitative lends itself to graphs such as line, scatter, histogram, whereas qualitative analysis may use more descriptive narrative techniques, e.g. to describe a particular photograph illustrating change. Data can be spatially represented, e.g. mini-pictures of evidence of changes in village on a large scale base map of the study area. Power-point / posters / mind-maps / spider diagrams / oral presentations 		
	-		draw a pie chart. ariety of ideas discussed, but the fieldwork and research provide a context to the answer, rather than dominate all		
	of the re	esponse	. Note L4 responses must refer to particular scheme(s).		
Level	Mark	Descri			
Level 1	1-4		description of fieldwork / research, with no reference to		
		meani	is or presentation. Does not refer to inequalities in any ngful way. Place / location not mentioned or recognisable. structure. Considerable errors in language.		
Level 2	 Either description of fieldwork / research that focuses on methods rather than analysis or presentation but has a recognisable area. OR one or two basic statements about analysis and/or presentation linked to inequalities lacking in detail. Expect limited use of geographical terminology. There are some w 		ds rather than analysis or presentation but has a nisable area. The or two basic statements about analysis and/or nation linked to inequalities lacking in detail. Expect		
	1	Liariyud	igo onors.		

Level 3	9-12	Some description of analysis and/or presentation of fieldwork and research into inequalities, but may lack details. Some use of geographical terminology. Response shows some structure, limited written language errors. Max 10 if only fieldwork or research.
Level 4	13-15	A detailed description of both the analysis and presentation of a range of fieldwork and research techniques that focuses on reducing inequalities; with good use of terminology. Clear linkage to a named scheme (s); structured account; written language errors are rare.

Question	Indicat	tive content			
Number					
3(c)		Urban inequality can lead to a number of social and economic problems for people:			
	problei	lems for people:			
	Social				
		oblems of lack of social cohesion caused by income inequality			
	le	ading to high crime rates.			
		oblems in the provision of affordable housing which can lead to			
		ocial segregation and poor living conditions.			
		oblems in housing quality which can lead to increased health			
		oblems and impact upon employment and educational oportunities.			
		oblems of educational attainment (and therefore opportunities)			
		sused by inequalities in access to education.			
		npact on other social indicators such as marital breakdown,			
	pr	revalence of gangs, binge drinking, teenage pregnancies.			
	Econo				
		roblems of both unemployment and underemployment leading to reduction in household incomes and so exacerbating social			
		oblems.			
		oblem of NEETS (Not in Education, Employments or Training).			
		oblem of long-term unemployment caused by deindustrialisation			
	le	ading to a culture of inequality (linked to deprivation) which is			
	_	ficult to overcome.			
		oblem of a lack of access to retail services due to low incomes			
		sulting in people having less choice and often paying more for			
		nat services are available.			
		rs may attempt to describe how inequality causes the social and nic problems or describe the resulting problems.			
		and processing problems.			
	NB an	swers must be urban in focus.			
Level	Mark	Descriptor			
Level 1	1-4	Basic description which is generalised with one or two ideas on			
		social and/ or economic problems. Limited link to inequality.			
		Lacks structure and very limited use of geographical			
Level 2	5-7	terminology. Considerable errors in language.			
Level 2	5-7	Some explanation of social and / or economic problems but likely to be restricted either in range and or depth. May be			
		unbalanced. Some exemplification is present but is generalised			
		and / or poorly selected. Some links to inequality. Some			
		structure and some written language errors.			
Level 3	8-10	Detailed explanation of both social and economic problems with			
		good exemplification providing depth and / or detail. Clear			
		links to inequality. At top of band might explain how one leads			
		to the other. Well-structured and balanced response. Written			
		language errors are rare.			

Question Number	Indicative content			
4(a)	Figure 4 is a stimulus to develop own ideas / examples. It shows some key ways in which three contrasting areas use image to create their own unique selling point (USP) in order to try and attract new visitors.			
	/users/	ontext of the resource 'new' can be either attracting groups individuals who had not been visitors in the past or a greater of visitors.		
	• Rer tra • See on	 Kielder Remote and rural location appealing to those who may want tranquillity and activity. Seems to be trying to attract individuals and families on the basis on nature / naturalness / wildlife/ to try recreation-horse riding or cycling (adventure) as opposed to traditional uses such as fishing. 		
	visi ma	gham y location, very much targeting families / young children to it attractions such as the Sealife centre as opposed to a nufacturing centre. lustrial history / heritage.		
	 Hong Kong This world city is hoping to promote itself through a range of facilities. Uses strap-line at the bottom (dine / drink, shop, accommodation) and powerful imagery to show a range of attractions depicting wildlife, culture / history, fashion, eating as opposed to image as a financial centre. NB: if a candidate answers the question using other examples and 			
Level	Mark	t use the resource, score is zero. Descriptor		
Level 1	1-4	One or two basic statements about how 'image' might be used to attract new visitors; descriptive and relies on lift-offs. Limited, if any, link to re-branding. Lacks structure and considerable errors in language.		
Level 2	5-7 Some comments on how some of the places have used 'image' to attract new visitors, but lacking detail. Some linkage to rebranding. Some structure; there are some written language errors. Max 7 if only two 'places'.			
Level 3	8-10	Detailed comments on how the places shown in Figure 4 have used 'image' to attract new visitors by rebranding; may refer to own examples and comment that some are better than others. Well structured; written language errors are rare.		

Question Number	Indicative content			
4(b)			ge of possibilities here, the focus could be on need to	
		or succ	 Basic data processing – working out percentages Commenting on data / trends / patterns Photograph analysis / annotation Simple e.g. mode, mean and median; also inter quartile ranges for some of the quantitative data collected such as unemployment statistics or house price indices. Some candidates will describe more complex statistical analysis such as difference of means test and Chi squared tests. Other ways of analysing data may be more descriptive for qualitative, e.g. open-coding, geographical narratives, précising (of extended interviews), conceptual frameworks, and a written commentary to accompany a video / DVD or series of images, e.g. analysis of pictures of change through a timeline. Choice will be largely influenced by data type, e.g. quantitative lends itself to graphs such as line, scatter, histogram, whereas qualitative analysis may use more descriptive narrative techniques, e.g. to describe a particular photograph illustrating change. Data can be spatially represented, e.g. minipictures of evidence of changes in village on a large scale base map of the study area. Power-point / posters / mind-maps / spider diagrams / oral presentations Wordle / word clouds NB there is overlap between analysis and presentation methods e.g. mind-maps, or calculating data to then draw a pie chart. 	
	research proce dominate all of scheme(s).		ariety of ideas discussed, but the fieldwork and is should provide a context to the answer, rather than the response. L4 responses must refer to particular rban or rural.	
Level	Mark Descriptor		otor	
Level 1	1-4	Basic description of fieldwork / research, with no reference to analysis or presentation. Does not refer to rebranding in any meaningful way. Place / location not mentioned or recognisable. Lacks structure. Considerable errors in language.		
Level 2	5-7	method recogn OR one	description of fieldwork / research that focuses on ds rather than analysis or presentation but has a isable area. e or two basic statements about analysis and/or tation linked to rebranding lacking in detail. Expect	
		İimited	use of geographical terminology. There are some language errors.	

Level 3	8-10	Some description of analysis and/or presentation of fieldwork and research into rebranding, but may lack details. Some use of geographical terminology. Response shows some structure, limited written language errors. Max 10 if only fieldwork or research.
Level 4	13-15	A detailed description of both the analysis and presentation of a range of fieldwork and research techniques that focuses on rebranding ; with good use of terminology. Clear linkage to a named scheme (s); structured account; written language errors are rare.

Question	Indicat	tive content	
Number	The section of the se		
4(c)	Rebranding can be used as a tool or catalyst to improve quality of places. Sustainability might link to economic , social and environmental - there are a range of linked ideas here. Inward investment attracting other businesses and positive multipliers. Perhaps important is the idea of longer-term success.		
	•	Employment opportunities in unemployment black-spots, improving economic sustainability (incomes, spending, positive multiplier) Building designs incorporating innovative energy efficient design and / or renewable energy generation, water conservation — environmental sustainability; new green spaces, recreation areas (link to people's health) Preservation of heritage and culture alongside new builds — diverse, attractive, mixed use environment. Attracting new residents making more sustainable demography / socio-economic mix and reversing depopulation / ageing / deprivation.	
	 Economically viable providing a range of employment opportunities; diversification, reducing reliance of declining primary industries. Development of farmers markets reducing transport footprint Training initiatives developing the skill base of young people; reversing population decline and out-migration. Preservation / conservation of important habitats / landscapes combined with recreation opportunities / health benefits for visitors. Accept other valid points linked to sustainability. One of the issues with rebranding is to what extent sustainable scheme actually benefit all communities / groups / players, especially those that are the most deprived or have least say. 		
	Places can be urban or rural , and at a range of scales, e.g. region local. Credit discussion of top-down, bottom-up and partnership approace.		
Level	Mark	Descriptor	
Level 1	1-4	Basic description which is generalised with one or two ideas on rebranding with limited link to sustainability. Lacks structure and very limited use of geographical terminology. Considerable errors in language.	
Level 2	5-7	Some explanation of rebranding with some links to sustainability but likely to be restricted either in range and or depth. Some exemplification is present but is generalised and / or not very well selected. Some structure and some written language errors.	
Level 3	8-10	Detailed explanation of rebranding with clear understanding of sustainability, with two or more rebranding examples providing depth and / or detail. At top of band might explain how difficult it is to meet all of the sustainability criteria. Well-structured and balanced response. Written language errors are rare.	